Date of Completion	01/09/2020	_			
State: <u>Washington</u>		Fiscal Year to which credit applies: <u>2020</u>			
Overall Report Two-parent Report	_ <u>X_</u> (check one)	Apply the overall credit to the two-parent <u>XX</u> yes participation rate? no			
	PART 1 –Eligibility Chan (Complete this section	-			
1. Name of eligibility char	nge: Full-Family Sanctions				
2. Implementation date of	eligibility change: 09/01/2006				
3. Description of policy, ir	ncluding the change from prior	policy:			
months of noncompliance. Eff before termination or terminat	fective November 1, 2014 the sand	age in work participation activities are terminated after six ction policy was changed that further reduced to two months adequate notice if the participant doesn't attend the non- home visit.			
4. Description of the meth (attach supporting mate		stimated impact of this eligibility change			
determine if the case had a cases receiving an NCS te nor the home visit. They	at least a one-month break in server rmination without a grant reduction are terminated from the program version	019 using the Sanction Reason Code 252 was reviewed to ice after the case was closed. This number includes adult on (Adults in these cases did not attend the NCS case staffing without a grant reduction if a good cause is not determined screen). The results are displayed in the chart below.			
	Total nun	nber of			
	Families Te				
	01OCT2018	198			
	01NOV2018				
	01DEC2018	211			
	01JAN2019	173			
	01FEB2019	137			
	01MAR2019 213				
	01APR2019 199				
	01MAY2019	199			
	01JUN2019 01JUL2019	249 189			
	01AUG2019	230			
	01SEP2019	241			
	01321 2019				
5. Estimated average mont	thly impact of this eligibility ch	nange on caseload in comparison year:2,384_			

#### Date of Completion \_\_\_\_01/09/2020\_

#### State: <u>Washington</u>

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: <u>2020</u>

- 1. Name of eligibility change: Child-Only Means Testing
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 11/01/2011
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

The rules for determining eligibility for a non-parental "child-only" TANF/SFA cash grant requires means testing nonparental child-only grants by counting the income for all household members in addition to the recipient child's income to determine eligibility.

- a. Means testing applies to kinship or legal guardian child-only cases.
- b. Households with income:
  - i. At or below 200% of FPL receive a grant based on the payment standard for TANF/SFA families.
  - ii. Between 200% and 300% of FPL receive a grant based on reduced payment standards:

FPL	Payment Standard
201% thru 225% of FPL	80% of payment standard
226% thru 250% of FPL	60% of payment standard
251% thru 275% of FPL	40% of payment standard
276% thru 300% of FPL	20% of payment standard

- iii. Over 300% FPL are not eligible for a child-only cash grant.
- c. Requires SSN for anyone in the household when needed to verify income.
- d. Children who have been placed by a state or tribal child welfare agency and have an **open** child welfare case are **not** be subject to means testing.
- e. The means testing assistance unit (household) includes the person applying on the child's behalf, any dependents of the caregiver, and other persons who are financially responsible for the caregiver or the TANF child. For example: the caregiver/relative, caregiver's spouse, caregiver's children, and TANF/SFA recipient child(ren).
- f. There is no resource test for non-parental child-only caretaker relative households.
- g. Budgeting of the recipient child(ren)'s income and resources against the payment standard will remain the same but will occur only after the household means test has been applied.
- h. Means testing doesn't change medical, food and child care eligibility.

Effective July 1, 2018, means testing is no longer required to determine income eligibility for child-only TANF caregiver households. Although no new cases will be reported, the residual cases from previous years must still be removed by attrition.

Date of Completion01/09/2020		
State: <u>Washington</u>	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: <u>2020</u>	
4. Description of the methodology used to c (attach supporting materials to this form)	alculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:	
	r required to determine income eligibility for child-only TANF caregiver ted, the residual cases from previous years must still be removed by	
5. Estimated average monthly impact of this	s eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: $-6$	

### Date of Completion \_\_\_\_01/09/2020\_

#### State: <u>Washington</u>

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: <u>2020</u>

1. Name of eligibility change: 60-Month Non-Recipient Parent Time Limit

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 11/01/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: In accordance with *Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill (ESSB)* 5921, the department initially imposed the 60-month time limit on all parents of children who receive Child-Only TANF, provided this is "consistent with federal funding requirements and makes hardship extensions available to these parents effective September 1, 2011.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

In November 2019, a list of child-only cases terminated in FFY 2019 as over the 60-month limit was reviewed to determine if the case had at least a one-month break in service after the case was closed. This excluded any cases that were reinstated. The result was a list of cases that closed due to 60-month time limit for non-recipient parent child-only cases and which were not reinstated as shown below.

	Total # of Families Terminated
OCT 2018	6
NOV 2018	10
DEC 2018	10
JAN 2019	14
FEB 2019	5
MAR 2019	7
APR 2019	14
MAY 2019	14
JUN 2019	12
JUL 2019	13
AUG 2019	3
SEP 2019	9

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: <u>-153</u>

### Date of Completion \_\_\_\_01/09/2020\_

#### State: <u>Washington</u>

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: <u>2020</u>

1. Name of eligibility change: Permanent Disqualification Due to 3 or More Non-compliance Sanction (NCS)

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 11/01/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

Permanent TANF/SFA disqualifications for clients who have had 3 or more WorkFirst non-compliance sanction (NCS) terminations since March 1, 2007 when the NCS termination policy was implemented. Although this policy was rescinded on 7/1/2018, residual implementation and attrition will continue to be tracked until the effects are zero.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

In November 2019, a list of cases terminated NCS the third time was reviewed to determine if the case had at least a one-month break in service after the case was closed. This identified any cases that were reinstated on appeal. The result was a list of cases that closed due to having had 3 or more WorkFirst NCS terminations and which were not reinstated as displayed below:

	Total # of Families Terminated
OCT 2018	7
NOV 2018	11
DEC 2018	12
JAN 2019	8
FEB 2019	3
MAR 2019	9
APR 2019	11
MAY 2019	12
JUN 2019	14
JUL 2019	5
AUG 2019	4
SEP 2019	7

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: <u>-113</u>

### Date of Completion \_\_\_\_\_01/09/2020\_

#### State: <u>Washington</u>

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: <u>2020</u>

1. Name of eligibility change: Mandatory Orientation

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 06/01/2014

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

Effective June 1, 2014, completion of a new WorkFirst (WF) Orientation was required as a condition of eligibility for all work-eligible Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) (would exclude child-only cases). The new orientation was implemented to ensure clients receive consistent information statewide about the WorkFirst program, including the services and supports available and activities that may be required for participation in the program. Previously, some local offices offered their own orientation, all of which differed greatly from each other.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

In November 2019 the client data for FFY 2019 was reviewed. All applications that were denied were screened by reason code to determine if the denial was based on lack of the new Mandatory Orientation. The resulting list was further screened to eliminate repetitions of clients who subsequently reapplied and successfully completed the Mandatory Orientation. This is displayed in the table below.

	Total
	# of Families
	Terminated
OCT 2018	85
NOV 2018	71
DEC 2018	87
JAN 2019	85
FEB 2019	72
MAR 2019	78
APR 2019	105
MAY 2019	114
JUN 2019	85
JUL 2019	123
AUG 2019	93
SEP 2019	127

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -1,080

### Date of Completion \_\_\_\_01/09/2020\_

#### State: <u>Washington</u>

Table 1

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: <u>2020</u>

1. Name of eligibility change: Changing Grant Standards

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

In accordance with the operating budget passed by the State Legislature from year to year, the department needed to adjust the client benefit amounts effective with the date of the change. The client benefit amount is also used to determine eligibility for TANF benefits. The Legislature first changed the benefit amount by raising it 3% starting 7/1/2008. As a result, a number of clients were added to the caseload that otherwise would have been denied in 2005. Subsequently, in February 2011 the Legislature responded to the effects of the Great Recession with a number of austerity measures, including a 15% reduction in the grant standard. In July 2014 the State Legislature changed the benefit amount again – this time raising the benefit standard 9%, and again in July 2018, raising the benefit another 9.3%. The net result of these eligibility changes needed to be factored together in order to determine the true change from 2005.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

The allowable grant standard was determined for each family size during the period of the reported change. See Table1. All cases denied or terminated during the current report period for reasons of being over the income limit were examined to determine if they would have been acceptable under the 2005 standard. A list of such cases was tabulated, resulting in Table 2.

нн	2005	7/1/2008 (+3%)	2/1/2011 (-15%)	7/1/2015 (+9%)	7/1/2018 (+9.3%)
1	\$349	\$359	\$305	\$332	\$363
2	\$440	\$453	\$385	\$420	\$459
3	\$546	\$562	\$478	\$521	\$569
4	\$642	\$661	\$562	\$613	\$670
5	\$740	\$762	\$648	\$706	\$772
6	\$841	\$866	\$736	\$802	\$877
7	\$971	\$1,000	\$850	\$927	\$1,013
8	\$1,075	\$1,107	\$941	\$1,026	\$1,121
9	\$1,180	\$1,215	\$1,033	\$1,126	\$1,231
10	\$1,283	\$1,321	\$1,123	\$1,224	\$1,338

Table 2

	Would Have Been Approved
OCT 2018	1
NOV 2018	1
DEC 2018	0
JAN 2019	2
FEB 2019	0
MAR 2019	0
APR 2019	3
MAY 2019	0
JUN 2019	2
JUL 2019	3
AUG 2019	0
SEP 2019	3

\*Per the 2018 grant increase, the State of Washington has exceeded its 2005 base year grant standard.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -160

### Date of Completion \_\_\_\_\_01/09/2020\_

#### State: <u>Washington</u>

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: <u>2020</u>

1. Name of eligibility change: Two-Parent Pregnancy to Employment Solely State-Funded Program

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 02/01/2016

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

In February 2016 the State began to fund, as a solely-state funded activity, that portion of the Two Parent caseload that State law exempts from participation, but for which the federal rules still require participation. This primarily consists of parents choosing to take the Infant Exemption, as this federal exemption only applies to single parents. By funding them as a Solely State Funded Program (non-MOE) they are removed from the WPR computation. This was an element of the State's Corrective Compliance Plan.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

In November 2019 the client data for FFY 2019 were reviewed. All new cases that were removed from the federallyfunded TANF caseload as a result of this policy and had not been removed in the previous six month period were tallied. The tabulated results are shown below.

	#of Families PTE
OCT 2018	103
NOV 2018	106
DEC 2018	127
JAN 2019	118
FEB 2019	105
MAR 2019	112
APR 2019	110
MAY 2019	125
JUN 2019	105
JUL 2019	127
AUG 2019	124
SEP 2019	116

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -2290

### Date of Completion \_\_\_\_01/09/2020\_

#### State: <u>Washington</u>

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: <u>2020</u>

- 1. Name of eligibility change: 60-Month All Family Time Limit Exceptions
- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 01/01/2011
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

In January 2011 the agency changed the approach by which exceptions to the existing 60-month time limit were granted. This change to how the exceptions are being granted constitutes a policy change resulting in fewer current cases.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

In November 2019 the client data for FFY 2019 were reviewed. All cases that were terminated were screened by reason code to determine if the termination was based on reaching the 60-month time limit. The resulting list was further screened to determine if the case was reinstated for successful completion of a Time Limit exception. The tabulated results are shown below.

#of Families
Terminated
60
51
47
42
47
64
61
41
59
46
43
55

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: <u>-686</u>

Date of	f Completion	01/09/2020	_
State:	Washington		Fiscal Year to which credit applies: <u>2020</u>

# PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

(Complete Part 2 using Excel Workbook provided.)

Date of	f Completion	01/09/2020	_
State:	Washington		Fiscal Year to which credit applies: <u>2020</u>

### PART 3 -- Certification

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.

(signature)	
David Stillman	
(name)	
Assistant Secretary, Economic Services	Division
(title)	